# Modeling the Distribution of Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation

Martha K. Raynolds*, Donald A. Walker, David Verbyla, Falk Huettmann
University of Alaska Fairbanks
*fnmkr@uaf.edu




Figure 1. Circumpolar Artic Vegetation Map (CAVM Team, 2003).

## How will arctic vegetation respond to climate change?

The Arctic is a very heterogeneous bioclimate zone, with vegetation varying from sparse, non-vascular dominated, low-growing vegetation in the coldest areas to dense tall shrubs in the warmest areas (Fig. 1). Each vegetation type results from a unique combination of environmental variables.

Climate and substrate are the main factors controlling vegegtation (Fig. 2). Temperature and precipitation are the major characteristics of climate. Permafrost, landscape age, elevation, and soil chemistry are some of the many variables affecting the substrate on which plants grow.

A better understanding of the environmental factors controlling the distribution of arctic vegetation will allow us to better predict the response of different areas to climate change.




Figure 2. Some of the major environmental factors affecting arctic vegetation.

Land Cover, Land Use Change (LCLUC) Spring Science Team Meeting
31 March - 2 April 2009, Bethesda, Maryland




Figure 3. Maps of variables used as inputs for regression tree modelling.




Figure 5. Maps of predicted vegetation distribution based on boosted regression tree models (left, grid points spaced every 50 km) compared with distribution shown on the CAVM (right) for (a) B1 - cryptogam, herb, barren and( b) S2 - low shrub tundra.




Figure 4. Results of boosted regression tree models for arctic vegetation types.

## Using models to compare effects of many variables

Models can show us which environmental variables are most important for which vegetation type. We used Boosted Regression Tree modelling (Elith et al. 2008) to create separate models for each arctic vegetation type, based on a suite of maps of circumpolar environmental characteristics (Fig. 3, 4). The models all had high ROC values (> 0.95), and their validity can be checked visually by comparing maps predicted by the models with the CAVM mapped distribution (Fig. 5).

# Conclusions

Temperature is an important factor controlling the distibution of all but barren vegetation types (B2, B3 and B4). The vegetation types most affected by precipitationare found in the High Arctic, where precipitation is low (B1, G1, P1, P2, W1). Vegetation types most controlled by substrate characteristics and least affected by climate are the mountain complexes (B3, B4), the cryptogam barren complex most commonly found on the Canadian Shield (B3), and tussock tundra (G4).

## References

Brown, J., O. J. Ferrians, Jr., J. A. Heginbottom, and E. S. Melnikov. 1997. Circum-arctic Map of Permafrost and Ground-Ice Conditions. USGS Circum-Pacific Map Series CP-45. US Geological Survey.
CAVM Team. 2003. Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map, scale 1:7 500 000. in Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna (CAFF) Map No. 1. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, Alaska.
Comiso, J. C. 2006. Arctic warming signals from satellite observations. *Weather* 61:70-76.
Ehlers, J., and P. L. Gibbard, editors. 2004. Quaternary glaciations - extent and chronology. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
Elith, J., J. R. Leathwick, and T. Hastie. 2008. A working guide to boosted regression trees. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 77:802–813.
ESRI. (1993). "Digital Chart of the World, Sept. 1993." Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., Redlands, CA.
Raynolds, M. K., Comiso, J. C., Walker, D. A., and Verbyla, D. (2008). Relationship between satellite-derived land surface temperatures, arctic vegetation types, and NDVI. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **112,** 1884-1894.
Raynolds, M. K., and Walker, D. A. (2009 (in press)). The effects of deglaciation on circumpolar distribution of arctic vegetation. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing.*

**Acknowledgments**
**This research was supported by a University of Alaska Graduate School fellowship, a University of Alaska International Polar Year (IPY) graduate fellowship through the Cooperative Institute for Arctic Research (CIFAR) with funds from NOAA under cooperative agreement NA17RJ1224, and NSF grants ARC-0531180 and ARC-0425517.**